

E & P. H. (1934) Department.

his SERIES.

G.O. No.	57 24 P H
Dated	31.10.40

[Despatch Abstract.]


Medical Institutions - Rural (Subsidised) - South Kanara District

Board - Opening of a dispensary at Mundkur - Ordered to be deferred.

DEPARTMENT.

31821

Received



Registered..... 19

Subject.

Medical Institution - Rural Dispensary at Mundkur South

Kanara District- opening of- views of the District Board-

furnished.

.....

ENCLOSURES.

No.
.....
Spare copies

Ref. on C. No. 6341/A4/40.

Station Mangalore, Dated the 30th July 1940 18/

From (Name) Sri. K. Vittal Shetty,
(Designation) President, District Board,
South Kanara.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department.
MADRAS.

Sir,

(M)

p. 5 of Memo No 10152-2A2M
15-4-40
pp. 3-4 infra

Reference. (1) Government Memorandum No. 10152-
1 D 2 P.H. dated 19.3.1940.
(2) District Board Resolution No. 120
dated 12.7.1940.

.....

The District Board at its Meeting held on 12.7.40 has agreed to meet from its own funds the cost of medicines and other contingencies for the rural dispensary to be opened at Mundkur Village, Mangalore Taluk. An allotment of Rs (370.0.0) three hundred seventy only has been sanctioned by the District Board for the supply of medicines and other contingent expenditure as is being done for other rural dispensaries. The question whether the dispensary should be an ayurvedic or an allopathic one will be decided

1. p 13-16 m from


by the District Board after receiving a report of the Committee specially appointed by the District Board for the purpose. The final decision of the District Board will be communicated to Government in due course. A copy of the resolution No.120 dated 12.7.1940 of the District Board sanctioning the allotment is enclosed.

1. p 3-4 m from

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Mangalore, is of ----- opinion that a rural dispensary at the place in question is ----- necessary. The views of the District Medical Officer, South Kanara, in the matter have already been furnished to the ----- Government by the Surgeon- General with the Government of Madras in his R. No. 3105/ H. dated 28. 3. 1940.

1. p 8-10 of
(M)

3. I request that the Government may be pleased to make ----- necessary provision for the payment of subsidy to the Medical Practitioner and the midwife in their Civil budget estimate.



PRESIDENT.

Copy to the Surgeon- General with the Government of Madras, Madras.

Do to the District Medical Officer, South Kanara.

TAS 31/7 .

1. 8. 40

.....

Extract from the proceedings of the meeting of the members of the South Kanara District Board, dated 12-7-1940.

Present.

1. Sri K.Vittal Shetty, President.
2. Sri A.Laxminarayana Rao, B.A.,B.L., Vice President.
3. Sri K.Venkappa Shetty.
4. Khan Bahadur Muhammad Sahammad Sahab Bahadur,M.L.A.
5. Sri M.Shiva Rao.
6. Sri Jathappa Rai.
7. Sri B.Guddappa Rai.
8. A.K.Sherule Sahab Bahadur.
9. Sri M.Dharmasamrajaya.
10. Sri M.C.Fernandez.
11. Sri A.Krishnaraya Kodgi.
12. Sri Shankornarayana Holla.
13. Sri F.P.S.Albuquerque.
14. Sri U.Ramanna Rai.
15. Sri C.K.Raghavan Nambiar, B.A.
16. Sri K.Sundara.
17. Sri M.C.Nambiar.
18. Sri M.Aithappa Rai.
19. Sri N.M.Adyanthaya.
20. Sri Belanje Krishnaya Hegdo.
21. Sri M.Amrithkrishna Rao.
22. Ch.Kunhi Kalandar Sahab Bahadur.
23. Sri B.T.Ariga Jaini
24. Sri N.K.Bhide.
25. Sri Balkatte Krishnaya Hegdo.
26. Sri A.Thyampanna alias Krishna Shetty.
27. Sri B.Shivarama Shetty, B.A.,B.L.
28. Sri K.R.Ballal.
29. Sri B.Subbanna Shetty.
30. Sri M.Mahalinga Shetty.
31. Sri P.Upondra Srinivasa Nayak.
32. Sri K.Manjunath Shenoy.
33. Syed Mir Hyder Sahab Bahadur.
34. Grimathi Chandrabhagi Heggadthi.
35. Grimathi Sushila Bai.
36. Sri U.Ramachandra.
37. Sri P.G.Achar.
38. A.Moosabba Sahab Bahadur, B.A.,B.L.

.....

120. Read Government Memorandum No. 10152- 1 D2 P.H.dated 19th March 1940, requesting the President, District Board to obtain the opinion of the Revenue Divisional Officer concerned and the District Medical Officer in regard to the suitability of Mundkur for the opening of a rural dispensary and to submit proposals in August 1940, for the payment of subsidy for the dispensary from the Provincial Funds together with a resolution of the District Board agreeing to meet from its own funds the cost of medicines and other contingencies for

the dispensary amounting to Rs 500/- a year.

(M)

Read also Government Memorandum No 152-2 D2 P.H. dated 15.4.1940 forwarding a copy of remarks of the District Medical Officer, South Kanara, in the matter.

P

Read also remarks N. Dis. 2218/40 dated 8.6.1940 of the Revenue Divisional Officer, Mangalore, recommending the opening of an allopathic dispensary at Mundkur for the reasons stated therein.

Read also Office Note stating that Rs (370/-) three hundred and seventy only will be met from the funds of the Board towards the cost of medicines and other contingencies for each of the rural dispensaries.

Resolution.


The Board agrees to meet the cost of medicines at Rs 360/- only per year and contingencies of Rs 10/- only per year.

Messrs Krishna Shetty, Ramakrishna Punja and S.M. Holla to report before the next meeting of the Board with regard to the nature of the dispensary, whether the people of Mundkur and surrounding villages would desire to have an allopathic or ayurvedic dispensary.

Sd: K. Vittal Shetty,

President, Dt Board.

TRUE EXTRACT.




PRESIDENT.

f
1.8.40

93. m
269

✓
Revised on 2/10/40

Dr
31/8



To

The Inspector of R.C. & L.B.

Medical institutions - Rural -
(Subsidised) South Kanara Dt Board.
Mundkur. Opening of a dispensary


C. No. 31821 From the P.D.B. S. Kanara, Ref.
on C. No. 6341/A4/40 D. 30.7.40.

Memo No. 31821-322 D. 2.9.40

The Govt. are considering
the question of paying subsidy ^{to} a
medical practitioner and a midwife
to be employed in a new rural
dispensary to be opened at Mundkur.
The Inspector of R.C. & L.B. Board
is requested to report whether the
Mundkur Panchayat Board ^{will} ~~can~~
be able to meet the cost of medicines
(Rs. 360 a year) and other contingen-
cies (Rs. 140 a year) on account of
the new dispensary from its funds.

m.m.
25840
1824
257840

to
26/8



35056

The Honble The Adviser to the Government of Madras,
Fort St. George.

Through

The President, District Board, South Kanara, Mangalore.

Sir,

Kirem

WE, the Parish Priests of ~~Damaskere~~ (Aikala), Belamannu, Balkunje and Paladka beg to bring to the notice of the Govt. of Madras and the District Board of South Kanara the following matter for their valued consideration.

It is brought to our notice by our Parishioners that an Enquiry Committee of the District Board elicited opinion in the village of Mundkur on the 17th of August, 1940, whether an Allopathic Dispensary or an Ayurvedic Dispensary is essential at Mundkur. We understand, that the Committee could not elicit proper public opinion in view of the want of literacy among the 15,000 people inhabiting this locality. Therefore, the Committee of the Board thought fit to appoint a few Panchayatdars to settle the matter and arrive at a decision. We are told that eight Panchayatdars were appointed from among those present and that the decision has been 5:3 for Ayurvedic and Allopathic respectively.

We beg to represent to the Govt., and the Board that the Panchayatdars were not fully represented in relation to the communal strength of each community inhabiting this locality. We regret to note that the Roman Catholic Christians numbering over 3,000 in a population of 15,000 were not represented by even one among the Panchayatdars. On account of this omission among the setting up of the Panchayatdars, the Roman Catholic Community of over 3,000 strength lost its opportunity to put forward its view to the District Board Committee. It is rather unfortunate that the strength of our community was overlooked inadvertently and this matter having been brought to our notice, we on behalf of the Roman Catholic Christians beg to submit that an Allopathic dispensary is absolutely necessary at Mundkur.

The women folk have also brought to our notice that should there have been a lady-member in the District Board Committee appointed, the women could not have lost an opportunity of espousing their feelings and thoughts to such a responsible Lady. The women folk believe that to suit the present times and needs the Allopathic treatment and Dispensary is the most useful serving Institution for them.

Wm
28/8

In the circumstances narrated above, we beg to conclude, that on behalf of the Parishioners, we feel, that an Allopathic Dispensary be opened in Mundkur. We also understand that the Revenue Divisional Officer, Mangalore and the District Medical Officer, Mangalore have quite long ago recommended the suggestion of the Panchayat Board of Mundkur to the District Board for an Allopathic Dispensary. We trust, the benign Govt., and the Board will also approve our suggestion for an Allopathic Dispensary being maintained at Mundkur.

WE BEG TO REMAIN
SIR,
YOURS FAITHFULLY,

Very Rev. George Abner D. D.

Parish Priest of Kinnery Church
Aikala P.O. S. Kanara

2 (Rev.) St. Ignatius, Parish Priest
St. Ignatius Church, Bolman.

3. (Rev.) St. Ignatius, Parish Priest
St. Ignatius Church, Bolman.

4. (Rev.) St. Ignatius, Parish Priest
St. Ignatius Church, Bolman.

Original Petition through
THE PRESIDENT,
DISTRICT BOARD, SOUTH KANARA, MANGALORE.

To

THE ADVISER TO THE GOVT. OF MADRAS,

FORT ST. GEORGE.

Copy to:

- (1) Secretary to the Govt. of Madras Public Health Dept., Fort St. George.
- (2) Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras.
- (3) Lord Bishop of Mangalore Diocese.
- (4) Deputy Collector, Mangalore.
- (5) District Medical Officer, Mangalore.
- (6) President, Panchayat Board, Mundkur.
- (7) Sri M. R. Punja, Dt. Board Member, Moolky Circle.
- (8) Mr. M. C. Fernandes, Dt. Board Member, Shirva Circle.
- (9) Mr. F. P. S. Albuquerque, Dt. Board Member, Mangalore Circle.
- (10) Srimathi Saraswathi, Dt. Board Member, Puttur Circle.

Indian Catholic Press, Mangalore
No. 31821/60
Mangalore
can be made
we are not
the subject of this is not the case to be done

F + P. H

9

South Kan Dept

The proposal of the South Kanara St Bd
for the opening of a rural dispensary at
Mundkur has been received & sent to H. And
with SPT no. no 31821-2 dt 28.8.40

This may be added to that file when
it is received back

L.O 10/9

yes. Pl do not forget to do so.


pp 1-6-7
anthe

ksu
31.8.40.

do
2/9

DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered

39318

19

Subject.

Medical Institutions - Rural Dispensary - opening at
Mundkur -South Kanara District - nature of dispensary-
decision of the Board - furnished.

ENCLOSURES. ✓

No.

R.C. No. 6341-A4/40

Spare copies

Station.....Mangalore....., Dated the.....16th September.....1940..

From (Name).....Sri K.Vittal Shetty,.....
(Designation) President, District Board, S.Kanara..

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Education and Public Health Department.
M a d r a s.

Sir,

(M)

Ref:- (1) Government Memorandum No. 10152-1D2 -P.H.
dated 19-3-1940.

(2) This office R.C.No. 6341 -A4/40 dated
30-7-1940.

In continuation of this office R.C.No. 6341 -A4/40

dated 30-7-1940, I have to state that as per the recommen-
dations of the Committee appointed by the Board to enquire
into the matter as to whether the villagers of Mundkur and
surrounding villages require an Ayurvedic or an allopathic
dispensary at Mundkur, the Board has resolved to open an
Ayurvedic dispensary at Mundkur. A copy of District Board

P.T.O.

12
45

- 2 -

Res No. 134 dated 11-9-1940 is enclosed.

pp 13-16 mfr

Waldh

PRESIDENT,
Dt. Board, S.Kanara.

20/9/40

Copy to the Surgeon General with the Government of
Madras.

" The Dt. Medical officer, S.Kanara.

DJK/18.9.40.

20.9.40

13/

Extract from the Proceedings of the Meeting of the Members
of the District Board of South Kanara, dated 11.9.1940.

.....
PRESENT.
.....

1. Sri. K. Vittal Shetty, President, District Board, South Kanara,
2. " A. ^{VN} Lakshminarayana Rao, B.A.B.L. Vice-President.
3. Ch. Kunhi Kalandar Saheb Bahadur.
4. Sri. M. Amrithkrishna Rao.
5. " M. Mahalinga Shetty.
6. " A. Thyampena alias Krishna Shetty.
7. " P. Upendra Srinivasa Nayak.
8. " Kumaraya ^{VN} K Jaini.
9. " M. Dharmasamrajaya.
10. " Belanje Krishnaya Hegde.
11. " B. Shivarama Shetty, B.A.B.L.
12. " N. K. Bhide
13. " B. Guddappa Rai.
14. " M. Aithappa Rai.
15. " K. Sundara
16. " B. Subbanna Shetty
17. ^{VN} ~~Syed~~ Moosabba Saheb Bahadur, B.A.B.L.
18. Syed Mir Hyder Saheb Bahadur.
19. Sri. M. C. Fernandez.
20. " N. K. Addyanthaya
21. " M. Shiva Rao.
22. Khan Bahadur Mahomed Schammad Saheb Bahadur, M.L.A.
23. Sri. M. R. Punja.
24. " K. Venkappa Shetty
25. " C. K. Raghavan Nambiyar, B.A.
26. " Jathappa Rai.
27. Srimathi I. Saraswathi Bai.
28. Sri. K. Manjunatha Shenoi.
29. " F. P. S. Albuquerque.
30. A. K. Sherule Saheb Bahadur.

15

31. Sri. B. Vaikunta Baliga, B.A.B.L.,
32. " B. T. Ariga Jaini.
33. " M. C. Nambiyar.
34. Srimathi Chandrabhagi Heggadthi
35. Sri. Balkatte Krishnaya Hegde.
36. " P. G. Achar
37. " A. Krishnaraya Kodgi
38. " U. Ramachendra
39. " U. Ramanna Rai.
40. " K.R. Ballal.
41. W. Jeffries Esquire.

.....

Read :-

p.3 under

134. District Board resolution No. 120 dated 12-7-40 agreeing to meet the cost of medicines and contingencies required for the opening of a rural dispensary at Munkur and appointing a committee of members to report with regard to the nature of the dispensary to be opened i.e., whether an allopathic one or Ayurvedic.

Also opinion of the Committee stating that the dispensary to be opened at Mundkur may be of Ayurvedic system of medicine.

Also petition dated 22-8-1940 to Government from the Parish Priests of the villages.

Also petition from women residents of village.

Resolution :-

Recommendation of the Committee is accepted.

(sd) K.Vittal Shetty,
President.

/True extract/



PRESIDENT,
Dt. Board, S. Kanara.



3938

202 ✓

17

39688

10p7-10 on de

Here enter letter dated 22-8-1940 of the Parish Priests of Aikala etc.

R.C.No. 6341/1940,

dated 20-9-1940.
Camp - Udipi.

Subject :- Rural dispensary at Mundkur - Request for an allopathic Dispensary - Letter addressed to Government.



Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Education and Public Health Department, Madras.

2. In its resolution No. 134 dated 11-9-1940 (copy enclosed) the District Board resolved to open an Ayurvedic Dispensary at Mundkur.

1013-4
note

[Signature]

PRESIDENT,
Dt. Board, S. Kanara.

DJK/ 23.9.40.

[Signature]
24.9.40

[Signature]
27/9

RURAL WELFARE ASSOCIATION
MUNDKUR

40505

President:

Very Rev. Fr. G. Menezes, D. D.


Secretary:

M. Rama Rao.

Mundkur P. O.,

South Kanara,

16th Sept. 1940.



To

The Hon'ble the Adviser to the Government of Madras,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Re: MUNDKUR RURAL DISPENSARY—ALLOPATHIC VERSUS AYURVEDIC.

THE Association begs to bring to the notice of the Govt. for their much valued consideration and final decision relating the differences in opinion that have cropped up in the course of the Enquiry on behalf of Govt. by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Mangalore, the District Medical Officer, South Kanara, on the one hand and the District Board on the other regarding the system of medicine that may be introduced in this Dispensary, while the respective Officials and the District Board agree to the suitability of the place for a Rural Dispensary.

We beg to submit that the Govt. of Madras in their Order Memo No. 10152—1D2 P. H. dated 19th March 1940 entrusted the District Board to obtain opinion of the Revenue Divisional Officer and the District Medical Officer and the Board on their respective recommendations to allocate funds towards the cost of medicines and send up proposals to the Govt. by August 1940. We understand, the R. D. O. and the D. M. O. have on proper enquiry and inspection of the locality opined that an Allopathic Dispensary be opened in Mundkur. The District Board in their July meeting decided to sanction Rs. 350/- towards the cost of medicine and in their September meeting formally adopted to open an Ayurvedic Dispensary on the strength of the Board's Sub-Committee's decision.

The Revenue Divisional Officer and the District Medical Officer agreed to the suitability of Mundkur having an Allopathic Dispensary after holding a searching enquiry of the problems and the necessities of the place through the co-operation of the local Panchayat Board, besides the leading people and the Village Officers concerned. The Panchayat Board had also by a resolution dated 22-5-1940 further to a petition dated 19th March 1940 have brought to the notice of the Collector of the District and the District Board that it is generally opined in the villages by a majority that an Allopathic Dispensary is the most suitable one that may be maintained here.

A few congress-minded individuals took a different view from the general opinion already endorsed for the Allopathic system and prevailed their influence on some of the members of the District Board and got out a Sub-Committee of three nominated by the Board from amongst its members of whom one could not come to the enquiry at all being convicted in a "Strike Case" for 4 months. The South Kanara District Board being a Congress Board in power acted on party lines and after a "Mock Enquiry," staged by the Sub-Committee consisting of the remaining two members of the Board from a small gathering of about 135, nominating 8 Panchayatdars of whom six belong to one single community disproportionate to the strength of their community in this locality with two from the rest, without proper consideration being given either to communal strength, interests, or proportionate representation to villages. It is our humble opinion that neither the assembled nor most among the Panchayatdars were not quite literate to decide the factor whether Allopathic or Ayurvedic systems are of utility to the place. In this respect, we beg to submit to the Govt. that the Board acted beyond the scope of order of Govt. Memo No. 10152—1D2 P. H. dated 19th March 1940 to have adopted such a course of enquiry when the order specifically stated that the President of the District Board should obtain opinions and recommendation of the R. D. O. and D. M. O. in this connection. We beg to state that the District Board acted on party propaganda in this situation and not in public interest. It is our opinion that the R. D. O. and the D. M. O. have with a judicial frame of mind considering the topography of the place and the remoteness of the place from Allopathic aid and the D. M. O. in particular having given attention to the "obstetric" needs of the locality, they have arrived at a conclusion that an Allopathic Dispensary in Mundkur would be the most beneficial.

(M)
The D.M.O.
recommended
the opening
of an
Ayurvedic
dispensary
— p. 10 of
p. 6 of

Ull
2/10

Therefore, we place before the Govt. that the opinions elicited by the Committee of the District Board and the Officials of the Govt. were obtained under different principles and considerations. The District Board elicited opinions on party lines while the Officials of the Govt. obtained opinion in public interest. In the circumstances, we beg to state, being a Welfare Association of cultured people that this matter should be thoroughly examined by the Govt. from the various connected papers that have been submitted to the District Board and the recommendations coupled with other concerned papers lying with the Officials of the District entrusted with this task. The Govt. would justifiably realise that the attitude of the R. D. O. and D. M. O. are thoroughly correct in public interest and not in the manner the District Board acted upon.

We further beg to submit that written representations to the District Board were made by the enlightened women folk and the Harijans on 18th August 1940 and 5th September 1940 respectively and we are given to understand that the District Board has not entered into the merits of their representations, neither read nor recorded in their September meeting while arriving at a decision. It is rather very regrettable that the Board should have taken such an indifferent attitude, governing themselves on their party lines and thoughts. Therefore, we beg to bring to the notice of the Govt. that gross injustice has occurred and that public interest has suffered in view of the Congress Party's and the Board's Enquiry Committee's interests they have had to legitimately protect being in power.

In conclusion, we beg to submit that the discrepancies of the District Board Committee enquiry held on the 17th August 1940 have been brought to the notice of the Government on behalf of the Roman Catholic Community by the Parish-Priests to the Hon'ble the Adviser to Government, in their petition dated 22nd August 1940, (Perhaps the Original still with the District Board) wherein the Govt. will realise that the District Board Committee's enquiry was nothing short of a "Mock Enquiry." The Harijans and women folk in general have had similarly brought to the notice of the District Board their grievance and that their enquiry was improperly held. We have herein placed thoroughly and fully well all facts/realities that have happened before the Govt. for their final decision either for Allopathic or Ayurvedic after due examination at the Secretariat of the reports of the R. D. O., D. M. O. and all herein referred petitions submitted to the District Board and the Govt. respectively. It has been recorded, approved and accepted by the District Board in their resolution in July relating the sanction of a Rural Dispensary at Mundkur and that it is absolutely necessary in this locality. The present crucial point of the controversial aspect is about having an Allopathic or Ayurvedic Dispensary wherein the Officers of the Govt., the R. D. O. and D. M. O., entrusted with this task concur in opinion for an Allopathic Dispensary and the District Board considering it from their party point of view, decided that Ayurvedic is suitable. Therefore, on the merits of the question, the Secretariat may realise from the above said facts that the decision now finally stands in the proportion of 2 : 1, which the Govt. of Madras could on the basis of their original order reverse the opinion expressed by the District Board on party thoughts, should their G. O. 10152-1D2 P. H. dated 19th March 1940 hold good and have its proper effect. We are of humble opinion that in this controversy of medical assistance required in this locality, we feel, that neither the Board, its Sub-Committee nor the Panchayatdars appointed by the said Enquiry Committee are competent enough to decide on this issue but an Expert like the Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras, we feel, could be the only competent authority to decide this controversy.

Hence, we pray, that the Govt. may decide in the way they deem fit, either on material records before them which are lying with the Govt. or with the District Board or by an Inspection Report that may be obtained from the Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras, to whichever of the two decisions our representative Association is thoroughly willing to abide by that decision, for which act of kindness the Govt. may show in this respect, our Association shall ever remain grateful.

YOUR MOST OBEIENT
SERVANTS,

S. S. M. S. S.

President.

Secretary.

Copy to:


- (1) Collector and District Magistrate, South Kanara.
- (2) The Revenue Divisional Officer, Mangalore.
- (3) The District Medical Officer, Mangalore.
- (4) Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.
- (5) Inspector of Local Boards and Municipalities, Madras.

For information

LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT.

C.P. 30.

Received



Registered

VG

43916

19

Subject.

Medical Institution - Rural (subsidized) - south Kanara
District Board - Mundkur - Opening of a dispensary.

...

ENCLOSURES.

No.

L.Dis. No. 34840/40.

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 24th October 1940.

From (Name) S. Ranganathan Esq., O.B.E., I.C.S.,
(Designation) Inspector of Municipal Councils
and Local Boards.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Education & Public Health Department.

Sir,

Ref:- Government Memorandum No. 31821-3-D2 dated 2-9-40.

The Mundkur Panchayat Board is a minor panchayat board whose main source of income is land cess. The normal income of the panchayat board is reported to be about Rs. 375 which is well spent by the board on roads, lighting, sanitation, reading room etc. It will not be possible for the panchayat board to meet the cost of medicine amounting to Rs. 360 per annum and other contingencies on account of the new dispensary. A statement of receipts and expenditure of the board during the last three years is enclosed.

for info

for Inspector.

P.T.O.

Statement of receipts and expenditure of Mundkur
Panchayat Board for the year 1937-38.

...

Receipts.

Opening balance.	Rs. 51-15-8.
Profession tax.	25--0-0.
License fees.	23--0-0.
Land cess.	377--0-0.
Interest on deposits.	1-10-6.
Sale of rubbish.	2--0-0.

Rs. 480-10-2.

Expenditure.

Office staff.	Rs. 39--0--0.
Office expenses.	86-11--0.
Lighting.	66-13--0.
Fairs and festivals.	10--0--0.
Roads and pathways.	143--0--0.
Cleansing of streets.	39--0--0.
Reading room.	14--0--0.
Health week.	20--0--0.
Amount spent for provin- cial panchayat conference	20--0--0.
Destruction of stray dogs.	6--0--0.
Closing balance.	36--2--2.

Rs. 480-10--2.

1938-39.

Opening balance.	Rs. 36--2-8
Profession tax.	9--8-0.
Land cess.	332--0-0.
License fees.	15--0-0.
Market.	12--0-0.
Miscellaneous collections	1--8-0.
Interest.	1--4-2.

Rs. 407--6-4.

Office staff.	36--0--0.
Contingencies.	72-13--0.
Lighting.	61--1--0.
Library and reading room	83--0--0.
Scavenging and sanitation	65--5--0.
Destruction of stray dogs	5--0--0.
Closing balance.	84--3--4.

Rs. 407--6--4.

1939-40.

Opening balance.	Rs. 84--3--4.
Land cess.	326--0--0.
Profession tax.	10--0--0.
Licence fees.	27--0--0.
Interest.	0-5--10.
Miscellaneous collection	2--0--0.
Market.	12--0--0.

Rs. 461--9--2.

Staff salaries.	34--0--0.
Contingencies.	33--5--3.
Communications.	230--0--0.
Lighting.	49-11--9.
Scavenging and sanitation	61--0--0.
Election expenses.	6--2--0.
Reading and library.	29--6--6.
Closing balance.	17-15--8.

Rs. 461--9--2.

"TRUE COPY"

Rhegan Kumar
24 10 40
for Inspector.

Econ. & MH Dept.

Medical institutions. Rural. Subsidised. S. Kanara Dt.
Mundkure. Opening of a dispensary.

C. No. 31821 From the P. D. B. S. Kanara. Ref. on C. No. 6341/A4/40
830.7.40

* pp 9-10 of (M)
⊗ - 'P' on p. 4 cf
pp 3-4 cf

The D. Sec. and the R. D. B. have recommended
the opening of a rural dispensary at Mundkure. The
South Kanara Dt. Board has agreed to meet from its
own funds, the cost of medicines (Rs. 360 a year)
and other contingencies (Rs. 10 a year) which is
the amount ^{provided} for the other rural dispensaries under
the Dt. Bd. The provision for other contingencies
will have to be increased later on, when the daily
average attendance at the dispensary reaches fifty.

vide 'C' on p. 2 cf

Remun-
- 10113-16cf

The provision proposed by the Dt. Bd. need not be
objected to. The receipt of a further report from
the P. D. B. as to whether the dispensary should be a
dispensary of modern medicine or of ayurvedic
medicine, may be awaited. In the meantime
L.A. may be requested to say whether the
Dt. Bd. would be able to meet the cost of medicines
and other contingencies, amounting to Rs. 370 a year.

From Memo

31821-10207

15.8.40



mm.
8.8.40
usn
8/8/40

10/9/8
15-8

Left us

L. A. Dept

D✓

Please See the L.A. Dept

note on page 1 ante. The general financial position of the ^{South Kanara} J.D. Board is not satisfactory; its ^{ordinary} charges exceed the ~~same~~ ordinary receipts by Rs 8,510. If the rural dispensary is opened the deficit will be increased by Rs 370. The budget for 1940/41 is expected to close with a balance of Rs 11,908 against the prescribed minimum of Rs 16,187. In order to provide for the minimum balance and make some additional contribution to the E.E. Fund the Board was directed to reduce capital expenditure by Rs 14,500. The provision made by the Board for Public Health expenditure in 1940/41 is Rs 95,990, which is far in excess of the statutory minimum of Rs 74,416. In these circumstances, the Board cannot afford to meet the expenditure in question; nor is it advisable for it to increase its expenditure until its finances improve.

vide p 1 ante

Para 50/1400

(I)

(1. 100)

I/141

(K. 100)

I/141

(K. 100)

which works out to

27/5/41

15. 100

15. 100


15. 100

15. 100

15. 100

15. 100

15. 100



15. 100

15. 100

15. 100

15. 100

Dr

Don't forget to report

In view of the remarks of L.A. on p. 2 ante, the Inspector may be requested to say whether the Mundkur Panchayat Board would be able to meet the cost of medicines and other contingencies from its own funds. A draft memo is placed below for approval.

mm
25/8/40

26/8 *27/8*
25/8/40

no 31821-202
25-8-40
26/8



27464

The draft may be forwarded to the file section to C. H. W. H. W.

29/8/40
25/8/40


7th
30/8/40

30/8

Sp 31/8
27464-101
31-8-40

Issue.

31/8



35660

31/8

5 Memo no 31821-3022 3.9.40

From the P.D.B S. Kanara Re 6341

p 114-
Cm 39318

16. 9. 40

From the P.D.B S. Kanara Re 634/40

p 174-

20-9-40

The S. Kanara ~~at~~ Bd has decided
to open an Ayurvedic dispensary
at Mundkur. Its financial position
not being sound, the Inspector
of M.L. Councils and Local Boards has
been asked to report whether the
financial position of the Panchayat.
Bd Mundkur will admit of its
meeting the recurring exp. of
Rs 500/- per annum from its funds.
The Inspector's reply may be
amenable to the 10.10.40

p 154f

p 2 ante

p 54f

Re remind.

30/9/40

28.9.40
18/9.40

30/9

From the President Rural Melfore Cm 40505 p 194
Assocn, Mundkur 16.9.40

The President of the Rural Melfore
Assocn, Mundkur says that the
decision of the S. Kanara d. board
to open an Ayurvedic dispensary
at Mundkur is one sided and
opposed to the recommendations of the
Rdso and the DM to open a dispensary

p 19-214

p 154f

of modern (allopathic) medicine!
there. In the first place
it does not appear that the Govt
can fetter the discretion of the
PC in the matter of its decision
to open a dispensary of Indian or
modern medicine. Secondly
it will appear from the SMO's letter
on pp 9-10 of Memo 10152-200
15-4-40, that the villagers of Mundhar
are for opening an Ajurvedic
dispensary at the place, and that
the SMO recommended the opening
of such a dispensary there.

(M)

The ^{reply} ~~replies~~ of the Inspector of
M.C. Councils and Local ^{(to the memo on sep.} Boards ~~may~~
~~continue to be awaited~~.

W.L.
5.10.40
W.L.
7.10.40

9/10 See

W.L.

The Inspector of Municipal Councils & Local
Boards has not yet replied to the memo
on p 5 CF. A draft T.M. is subd. for
approval.

W.L.
22/10/40

23/10

16

From the Inspector of Local Boards

and ml Council Lds 34846/408 $\frac{246}{400}$ Crn 43916. p 234

The Inspector of ml Councils and
Local Boards says that the p 234

Mundkur Panchayat Board
in the S. Kanara dt cannot
afford to meet the cost of medicines.

(Rs 360) and contingencies
amounting to Rs 140. ~~for~~ ^{on account of}

~~opening a~~ ^{the new} rural dispensary
at Mundkur. As the dt board

S. Kanara also cannot afford
to meet the exps in its present

V. H. L. A. ...
mp 2 ante

state of finances it may

be informed that the question
of opening a subsidized rural

dispensary at Mundkur shd

be deferred till its ^{its} set/finances
in order.

A dispt. ~~order~~ ^{order} is submit for

approval

all
26/10/60
18/11/60
26/10/60

28/10/60

12/11/60

